1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
2	
3	IN THE MATTER OF:)
4	MANCUSO INVESTMENT CORPORATION)) No. 02-0781
5	Request for Declaratory Ruling or) Alternative Application for a) Certificate of Public Convenience) and Necessity.
7	Chicago, Illinois May 6, 2003
8	Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.
9	BEFORE:
10	MR. DAVID G. GILBERT, Administrative Law Judge.
11	APPEARANCES:
12	CHAPMAN and CUTLER, by
13	MR. DANIEL J. KUCERA 111 West Monroe Street, 15th Floor
1 4	Chicago, Illinois 60603 Appearing for the Petitioner;
15	MR. JAMES E. WEGING and
16	MR. JOHN J. REICHART 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
17	Chicago, Illinois 60601 Appearing for Staff.
18	
19	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Tracy L. Ross, CSR

1		\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D}	<u>E</u> <u>X</u>	D.o.	D.a	D
2	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross		Re- cross	Examiner Examiner
3	D.J. Mancuso	24	22			28
4	William Marr	34				
5						
6						
7	E	<u>X</u> <u>H</u> <u>I</u> <u>I</u>	<u> </u>	<u>S</u>		
8	Number	For Ide	entifi	cation	<u>In I</u>	Evidence
9	Staff Nos. 1.00 - 1.03		22			3 6
10	Staff No. 2.00		22			37
11	Petitioner's					3 /
12	Nos. 1 and 2		22			28
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

- 1 (Whereupon, Staff
- 2 Exhibit No. 1.00 was
- 3 marked for identification
- 4 as of this date.)
- 5 (Whereupon, Petitioner's
- Exhibit Nos. 1 and 2 were
- 7 marked for identification
- 8 as of this date.)
- 9 JUDGE GILBERT: Pursuant to the authority of
- 10 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call
- 11 Docket 02-0781.
- 12 If I could have appearances for the
- 13 record, please, beginning with petitioner.
- 14 MR. KUCERA: Daniel J. Kucera, Chapman and
- 15 Cutler, 111 West Monroe Street, Chicago,
- 16 appearing for Mancuso Investment Corporation.
- 17 MR. WEGING: James Weging, W-e-g-i-n-g, and
- John Reichart, 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite
- 19 C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601, (312) 793-2877
- 20 appearing on behalf of Commission Staff
- 21 witnesses.
- JUDGE GILBERT: All right. I'll note that

- we're here on petitioner's request for a
- 2 Declaratory Ruling or alternatively for a
- 3 Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.
- 4 Although I understand your preferred
- 5 outcome is a Declaratory Ruling that the
- 6 petitioner is not a public utility; would that be
- 7 correct?
- 8 MR. KUCERA: Exactly, Judge.
- 9 JUDGE GILBERT: We have a couple witnesses
- 10 participating by telephone. I understand there
- are no motions from the parties, so why don't we
- 12 proceed with the case, Mr. Kucera.
- MR. KUCERA: I have a question. Mr. Weging
- 14 and I are willing to stipulate to the admission
- of our respective witnesses' testimony. How do
- 16 you want to handle the introduction of the
- 17 testimony into the record? Do you want to do it
- 18 by questioning the witnesses or by stipulation of
- 19 counsel?
- JUDGE GILBERT: Do we have affidavits so we
- 21 can --
- 22 MR. WEGING: I did not get one prepared for my

- 1 witness. And, also, the second exhibit,
- 2 actually, couldn't come from that witness anyway
- 3 because it's really the answer to Mr. Mancuso's
- 4 question. So I'd actually think stipulation
- 5 would be just to avoid additional paperwork.
- 6 JUDGE GILBERT: Okay. We have the witnesses
- 7 here telephonically. We can take care of this
- 8 very easily.
- 9 Mr. Kucera, just call your witness and
- 10 that's how we'll do it.
- 11 MR. KUCERA: I'll call Mr. Mancuso. He needs
- 12 to be sworn, please.
- 13 (Witness sworn.)
- 14 JUDGE GILBERT: Go ahead, Mr. Kucera. A
- D.J. MANCUSOÁ,
- 16 called as a witness herein, having been first
- duly sworn, was examined and testified
- 18 telephonically as follows:
- 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY
- MR. KUCERA:
- Q. Mr. Mancuso, can you hear me?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Please state your full name.
- 3 A. D.J. Mancuso, M-a-n-c-u-s-o.
- 4 Q. Are you an officer of Mancuso Investment
- 5 Corporation?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what office do you hold?
- 8 A. President.
- 9 Q. In connection with this proceeding, did
- 10 you cause to be prepared, in a written question
- and answer format, your direct testimony?
- 12 A. I didn't hear the question.
- 13 Q. In connection with this proceeding, did
- 14 you cause to be prepared, in a written question
- and answer format, your direct testimony?
- 16 A. I'm hearing a lot of background noise
- 17 right now.
- 18 Q. We'll try one more time.
- 19 A. I can hear you good now.
- Q. In connection with this proceeding, did
- 21 you cause to be prepared, in a written question
- and answer format, your direct testimony?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And is that direct testimony contained in
- 3 the document that has been marked this morning as
- 4 Petitioner's Exhibit 1?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. If I were to ask you the same questions
- 7 this morning, would your answers be the same?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Your direct testimony makes reference to
- 10 certain exhibits, are these the attachments to
- 11 your direct testimony identified as Attachments
- 12 A, B, C, D, and E?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And were they prepared by you or under
- 15 your direction and supervision?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. In addition, did you cause to be prepared,
- in a written question and answer format, your
- 19 rebuttal testimony?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And is that contained in the document that
- 22 has been marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 2?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. If I were to ask you the same questions
- 3 this morning as appear in Exhibit 2, would your
- 4 answers be the same?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Your rebuttal testimony makes reference to
- 7 a certain attachment. Is this attachment the one
- 8 identified as Attachment A to Petitioner's
- 9 Exhibit 2?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And was that prepared by you or under your
- 12 direction and supervision?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. KUCERA: Thank you. Mr. Gilbert, I have
- 15 no further questions of the witness and I offer
- 16 into evidence Petitioner's Exhibits 1 and 2 and
- 17 the various attachments to them.
- 18 JUDGE GILBERT: Okay. I have a couple of
- 19 questions, but they won't go to admissibility.
- Mr. Weging?
- MR. WEGING: No objection to the evidence.
- 22 And, as indicated earlier, we have no

- 1 cross-examination for Mr. Mancuso.
- JUDGE RILEY: Petitioner's Exhibits 1 and 2
- 3 and all attachments are admitted.
- 4 (Whereupon, Petitioner's
- 5 Exhibit Nos. 1 and 2 were
- 6 admitted into evidence as
- 7 of this date.)
- 8 EXAMINATION
- 9 BY
- JUDGE GILBERT:
- 11 Q. Mr. Mancuso, can you hear me?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I have a couple of questions. If you
- would take a look at page 2 of your rebuttal
- 15 testimony.
- 16 A. Okay. Hold on a second.
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 A. Page 2?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. And if you look at the answer that begins
- on line 13 of page 2 of your rebuttal

- 1 testimony --
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. -- you refer there to some private lot
- 4 owners who are, as you say, currently failing to
- 5 pay for water or waste water service?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What do you mean by "failing to pay"?
- 8 A. No payment has been received. Normally,
- 9 we get monthly payments. So none has been
- 10 received for two years on one of them and a year
- 11 and a half on the other.
- 12 Q. And what do you do when that occurs? Or
- what are you doing in these particular instances
- 14 given that you're not receiving payment?
- 15 A. What we've been doing presently is simply
- 16 sending them a letter -- a billing statement. We
- do that every month and we haven't proceeded any
- 18 further than that.
- 19 Q. Have they continued to receive service?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Have you stated to them either orally or
- in writing that you would discontinue their

- 1 service if they continued to fail to pay for
- 2 those services?
- 3 A. No, sir, we have not.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge as to why they
- 5 are not paying?
- 6 A. I don't have any knowledge as to why
- 7 they're not paying.
- 8 Q. Okay. A general question not pertaining
- 9 to that particular testimony. Has anyone ever
- 10 requested that you provide either water or waste
- 11 water service outside the boundaries of the park?
- 12 A. No, nobody's ever requested that.
- Q. Regarding your testimony, again, on page 2
- of your rebuttal -- and if you'll look now at the
- 15 next question -- the question begins on line 17
- 16 and the answer at line 21.
- What are you currently doing with
- 18 respect to those private owners who have not yet
- 19 entered into a written contract?
- 20 A. We have not proceeded further with that.
- Q. Are there ongoing efforts to persuade them
- to enter into a contract?

- 1 A. No, we have not.
- Q. Okay. And you're continuing to serve
- 3 those persons?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And they're paying you?
- 6 A. Some are paying. Most are paying with the
- 7 exception of four individuals.
- 8 Q. Okay. How did you get the contracts that
- 9 you do have? I guess you say here that -- is it
- 10 15 of the 27 have written contracts; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. How did that come about? Did you approach
- 14 them?
- 15 A. Yes. I provided them with a copy of a
- 16 proposal -- contract proposal.
- 17 Q. And that would be true as well with
- 18 respect to the persons who have not signed
- 19 contracts?
- 20 A. I don't know the answer to that question.
- 21 But I would assume that we would continue -- if
- they're willing, we would continue that -- with

- 1 the contract that we propose.
- 2 Q. At some point, did you send out a proposed
- 3 contract to all of the private owners?
- 4 A. Yes, we did.
- 5 JUDGE GILBERT: Okay. That's all I have for
- 6 you. Any Staff cross based on my questions and
- 7 only within the scope of my questions?
- 8 MR. WEGING: Yeah.
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY
- MR. WEGING:
- 12 Q. Hi, Mr. Mancuso. This is Jim Weging for
- 13 the Commission Staff.
- 14 A. Yes, hi.
- 15 Q. In response to the ALJ's questions here,
- 16 you talked about the two parties that owed you
- money or, at least, has not paid the water and/or
- sewer bills for two years and one-and-a-half
- 19 years. Those are the same people that you
- 20 referred to in your response to the Staff Data
- 21 Request, which was OGC 1.9. I don't know if you
- 22 have it in front of you or not, they're both --

- 1 both surnames were Holmes in both cases?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So those are the same two people that you
- 4 pointed out in your data request response?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. WEGING: Thank you. That's all I really
- 7 have.
- 8 JUDGE GILBERT: Mr. Kucera, any redirect for
- 9 your witness?
- 10 MR. KUCERA: No.
- 11 JUDGE GILBERT: That's it. Thank you,
- 12 Mr. Mancuso, for your participation.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
- JUDGE GILBERT: All right. Anything else,
- 15 Mr. Kucera, in your case?
- 16 MR. KUCERA: No.
- 17 MR. WEGING: I'd like to call Mr. Marr and ask
- 18 that he be sworn as well.
- JUDGE GILBERT: All right. Mr. Marr, are you
- 20 with us?
- MR. WILLIAM MARR: Yes, I am.
- 22 (Witness sworn.)

- 1 A WILLIAM MARRÁ,
- 2 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 3 duly sworn, was examined and testified
- 4 telephonically as follows:
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY
- 7 MR. WEGING:
- 8 Q. Could you state your name and business
- 9 address for the record, please.
- 10 A. William D. Marr, 527 East Capitol Avenue,
- 11 Springfield, Illinois 62701.
- MR. WEGING: Just for the record, his last
- 13 name is M-a-r-r.
- 14 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 15 BY MR. WEGING:
- Q. And, Mr. Marr, did you prepare testimony
- in this case?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And was that testimony marked as Staff
- 20 Exhibit 1.00 and consisted of 21 pages of
- 21 testimony?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And there were three attached exhibits
- 2 1.01, 1.02, 1.03; they multiple-paged exhibits,
- 3 however?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do they -- if I were to ask you the
- 6 questions contained in Staff Exhibit 1.00, would
- 7 your answers be substantially the same as the
- 8 answers in Exhibit 1.00?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you have any changes, corrections or
- additions to make to Staff Exhibit 1.00?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. And the copies attached to your testimony,
- 14 the Staff Exhibits 1.01 through 1.03, those are
- 15 true and correct copies of the items therein, to
- the best of your knowledge? Did you understand
- 17 me?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. I'll repeat. Are Staff Exhibits 1.01
- through 1.03, to the best of your knowledge, true
- and accurate copies of what they claim to be?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Did you say something?
- 2 A. I didn't.
- 3 MR. WEGING: With that, Mr. ALJ, I would ask
- 4 for the admission of Staff Exhibit 1.00 with the
- 5 three attachments.
- 6 JUDGE GILBERT: First of all, is there any
- 7 objection?
- 8 MR. KUCERA: No objection.
- 9 JUDGE GILBERT: All right. These are marked
- 10 as separate exhibits, and I'm going to admit
- 11 them; that way, I'll admit statement 1.00, 1.01,
- 12 1.02, and 1.03.
- 13 (Whereupon, Staff
- 14 Exhibit Nos. 1.00 1.03 were
- 15 admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- 17 MR. WEGING: It was whispered -- these are
- 18 actually on the E-docket as well.
- In addition, Mr. ALJ, I have what has
- 20 been marked by the court reporter as Staff
- 21 Exhibit 2.0, which was a response by Mr. Mancuso
- 22 to a -- on behalf of his company to a Staff Data

- 1 Request marked OGC 1.19. The one being presented
- 2 is marked updated and revised because we received
- 3 an updated response yesterday. And by the
- 4 agreement of the parties, we were going to
- 5 stipulate it into the record.
- This exhibit, however, was not prefiled
- 7 on E-docket.
- 8 JUDGE GILBERT: Okay. Mr. Kucera, I assume
- 9 Mr. Weging has accurately represented your
- 10 consent to the admission of this document?
- 11 MR. KUCERA: Yes.
- 12 JUDGE GILBERT: Staff Exhibit 2.00 is
- 13 admitted.
- 14 (Whereupon Staff
- Exhibit No. 2.00 was
- 16 admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- MR. WEGING: With that, Staff has no
- 19 evidentiary presentation to make.
- JUDGE GILBERT: I think we have a preexisting
- 21 briefing schedule. I don't know if the parties
- 22 want to continue to adhere to that or if you want

- 1 a different schedule.
- 2 MR. WEGING: Can we go off the record?
- JUDGE GILBERT: Yes, we can. We're off the
- 4 record.
- 5 (Discussion off the record.)
- 6 JUDGE GILBERT: All right. We will continue
- 7 to adhere to the briefing schedule that was
- 8 agreed upon earlier. The parties simultaneous
- 9 initial briefs will be filed June 9th and
- 10 simultaneous reply briefs on June 23rd.
- I assume electronic service is fine with
- 12 everybody?
- 13 MR. WEGING: That's fine.
- 14 MR. KUCERA: Yes.
- JUDGE GILBERT: And for me, if you would send
- 16 me anything that you send it in Word. I imagine
- 17 you'll file a PDF, please serve me in Word. And,
- if you will, send me a hard copy as well.
- 19 Anything else we need to address?
- MR. KUCERA: I have nothing.
- MR. WEGING: Nothing.
- 22 JUDGE GILBERT: The case is heard and taken.

1	Thank	you.			
2			(Heard	and	taken.)
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					